Contents lists available at Science-Gate



International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.science-gate.com/IJAAS.html

Sentiment analysis of movie review classifications using deep learning approaches



CrossMark

Sarwar Shah Khan ^{1, 2, *}, Yasser Alharbi ³

¹Department of Computer and Software Technology, University of Swat, Swat, Pakistan ²Department of Computer Science, IQRA National University, Swat, Pakistan ³College of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Hail, Hail, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 April 2024 Received in revised form 9 August 2024 Accepted 18 August 2024

Keywords: Sentiment analysis Deep learning models XLNet Rotten Tomatoes dataset Movie reviews

A B S T R A C T

Movie reviews reflect how the public feels about a movie they have watched. However, because many reviews are posted on various websites, it is practically impossible to read each one. Summarizing all movie reviews can help people make informed decisions without reading through all of them. Previous studies have used different machine learning and deep learning techniques for sentiment analysis (SA), but few have combined comprehensive hyperparameter tuning and novel datasets for better performance. This paper presents an SA approach using deep learning models with optimized hyperparameters and a novel Rotten Tomatoes (RT) dataset to help viewers make better movie choices. SA, or opinion mining, is a computational technique to extract and analyze opinions and emotions expressed in text. We explore deep learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), XLNet, Convolutional Neural Networks-LSTM (CNN-LSTM), and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). These models are known for capturing complex language patterns and context from raw text data. XLNet, a pre-trained model, effectively understands context by considering all possible permutations of the input sequence, BERT excels at using bidirectional context to understand text, LSTM retains information about long-term patterns in sequential data, and CNN-LSTM combines local and global context for reliable feature extraction. The RT dataset was pre-processed with data cleaning, spelling correction, lemmatization, and handling of informal words to improve the results. Our experiments show that XLNet performed better than other models on the Rotten Tomatoes dataset. The study demonstrates that SA of movie reviews provides insights into emotions and attitudes, allowing us to estimate a movie's performance based on its overall sentiment.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by IASE. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Movies can be a valuable part of our lives and positively impact our personal growth (Rahman and Hossen, 2019). The film industry produces an increased number of movies and sells more tickets annually to boost their earnings. From a viewer's perspective, the cost of watching a movie includes both the price of the ticket and the time spent watching it. Trailers can sometimes be misleading, leading viewers to waste their time and money on

* Corresponding Author.

Email Address: sskhan0092@gmail.com (S. S. Khan)

Corresponding author's ORCID profile:

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6387-4114

2313-626X/© 2024 The Authors. Published by IASE.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

movies that are not as good as advertised (Banik and Rahman, 2018). Movie reviews can be a valuable resource for movie lovers. They can help you decide what movies to watch, learn about cinema, and connect with other movie fans. Because there are so many reviews on movie review websites, it can be hard for people who have never seen a movie before to decide which one to watch. It might be challenging to read through all of the lengthy and in-depth movie reviews in a short period of time (Danyal et al., 2023). The summary of all movie reviews into positive and negative categories can save people time reading through them all. This is achievable through sentiment analysis (SA) (Danyal et al., 2024a). SA or opinion extraction is an approach that finds and gathers emotions from source materials by using natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and text analysis techniques (Khan et al., 2018; Danyal et al., 2024b).

https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2024.08.016

Sentiment mining enables businesses to gain insights from customer feedback, enhance decisionmaking, and improve overall customer experience (Khan et al., 2020). SA categorizes movie reviews as positive or negative based on the occurrences of words in the review text. Words that have previously been used in a positive or negative context are used to train the SA model. The better the sentiment extraction model is trained, the better it will be able to understand the review process and the needs of viewers (Yasen and Tedmori, 2019; Danyal et al., 2024c). Deep learning (DL) is gaining popularity as an efficient machine learning (ML) technique that learns a number of layers of data representations or capabilities and generates better results for prediction. In recent years, SA has extensively used deep learning, resulting in its success in numerous other applications (Zhang et al., 2018). DL models can learn complex relationships among phrases and specific words. This enables them to properly understand all aspects of human language and make accurate predictions within the sentiment of the text.

XLNet is a language model that integrates BERT's bidirectionality with Transformer-XL's autoregressive property. This enhances its ability to recognize long-term dependencies in text (Yang et al., 2019). BERT is a language model that has been pre-trained on an enormous text dataset along with code. It can be customized for several NLP tasks, such as text classification, query responding, and summarizing (Devlin et al., 2018). LSTM is a neural network type that improves with sequential data like text. It is appropriate for various natural language processing tasks, such as language modeling, SA, and text summarizing (Sherstinsky, 2020). CNN-LSTMs improve natural-language processing applications by combining CNN's local feature extraction and LSTM's long-term learning capabilities.

This study is based on the performance of the proposed technique for models such as XLNet, BERT, and LSTM. Our main objective is to make measurable improvements over the existing state-of-the-art models, with a special focus on the higher accuracy that the suggested methodology achieves. To preprocess the dataset and carefully select the hyperparameter settings to achieve higher results. The dataset utilized is the Rotten Tomatoes Dataset, which has been through multiple pre-processing stages to improve its quality. These procedures include lemmatization, case normalization, spelling correction, and data cleaning. In data cleaning, I removed duplicate reviews, hashtags, stop words, punctuation, links, and special characters. We made the following contributions to this study:

• To improve our results, we increased our preprocessing efforts on the dataset. This includes multiple processes to improve the data before analysis. First, we fix any spelling mistakes to ensure consistency and accuracy. Next, we simplify words to their base form using lemmatization, which improves analysis by reducing word variations. We then remove common words like

"and," "the," and so on, which are known as stop words because they often add little meaning to the analysis. In addition, we handle special chat language or abbreviations to ensure they are properly interpreted. Removing duplicate reviews helps to streamline the dataset and ensures that we are not analyzing redundant information. Finally, we perform general data cleaning to remove any inconsistencies, special characters, or errors, ensuring that our dataset is ready for effective analysis.

- To improve the model's performance, various hyperparameters are fine-tuned. These include parameters such as the maximum sequence length, learning rate, batch size, and epoch count. By carefully adjusting these hyperparameters, the model can improve its performance in tasks like training accuracy, generalization, and convergence speed. The continuous procedure of hyperparameter tuning is vital for increasing the model's effectiveness across different datasets and tasks.
- The performance of XLNet, BERT, LSTM, and CNN-LSTM is assessed through a comparative evaluation.
- Using DL techniques like CNN-LSTM, LSTM, XLNET, and BERT for SA on the Rotten Tomatoes English Movie reviews dataset is a novel application that contributes to the exploration of these models in the context of movie reviews.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 presents the literature review, followed by the proposed methodology in Section 3. The experimental results are described in Section 5. Section 6 discusses the limitations of the study, and the conclusion is provided in Section 7.

2. Literature review

This section provides a comprehensive review of previous efforts in SA for movie reviews. It explores various techniques used in SA, with the goal of simplifying the evaluation of movie critiques. These methods include a range of approaches designed to extract detailed sentiments from text-based movie reviews. Table 1 offers a summary of the key findings and insights from previous studies in this area.

The research articles cited in the literature review describe various approaches to SA. These include methods for preprocessing, extraction of features, representation, and classification, as well as approaches for dealing with imbalanced datasets and pre-training large language models. These articles demonstrate the benefits of combining methods to achieve optimal performance in sentiment mining of movie reviews. The complexity and multiple emotion analysis, combined with longdistance and local semantic processing of data, presented the most significant challenges. Our method enhances the results by presenting a cleaner movie reviews dataset to XLNet, BERT, LSTM, and CNN-LSTM. We chose the better-performing hyperparameters and performed an in-depth comparative evaluation of all models.

| Reference | Techniques | Preprocessing techniques | Advantages and disadvantages | Evaluation measures | Datasets |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Dhivyaa et al. (2023) | BERT, XLNet, transformer coder SVM | Data cleaning, tokenization | Enhances model performance and difficulty in handling subjective content | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, loss | IMDb |
| Dashtipour et al. (2021) | LSTM, SVM, MLP, logistic regression, CNN | Text cleaning, lemmatization, tokenization, parts of speech tagging | Novel context-aware approach enhances understanding, difficult to implement | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | Persian |
| Chakraborty et al. (2018) | K-means algorithm, Word2vec Naïve bayes, SVM, | Data cleaning | Scalability, automatic feature extraction, algorithm complexity | Time complexity | IMDb |
| Dholpuria et al. (2018) | logistic regression, KNN, CNN, ensemble methods | Data cleaning | Robust feature extraction, complexity, and resource-intensive | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | IMDb |
| Dang et al. (2020) | RNN, CNN, DNN, word embedding TF- IDF | Data cleaning | Improved efficiency, applicable to various datasets | Accuracy, precision, recall, AUC, time | IMDb, Cornell, boo reviews, tweets, sentiment |
| Lou (2023) | CNN, TF-IDF, bag of words | Data cleaning, normalization | Improved CNN and comparison of TF-IDF and count vectorizer | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | IMDb |
| Ullah et al. (2022) | 1D-CNN | Data cleaning, lemmatization, case normalization | Used state-of-the-art techniques, Requires substantial data, computationally intensive | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | IMDb, bina classificatio |
| Tripathy et al. (2023) | ANN, genetic algorithm | Data cleaning | Enhanced feature representation, increased complexity | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | IMDb |
| Abimanyu et al. (2023) | Logistic regression technique and information gain, feature selection | Lemmatization, tokenization, data cleaning, stemming, | Shows a decrease in performance as stemming and lemmatization perform better | Precision, recall, F1 score | Rotten tomatoes |
| Aziz et al. (2023) | Logistic regression, SVM, Naïve Bayes | Lemmatization, data cleaning, tokenization | Streamlined SA process, sensitivity to noise or irrelevant features in reviews | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, training time | Rotten tomatoes |
| Palomo et al. (2024) | BERT, RoBERTa, XLNet, TF-IDF | Data cleaning | ML automates and expedites movie review analysis, complex transformer-based models | Accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score | IMDb |
| Deepa et al. (2021) | XLNet, BERT, RNN | - | Auto feature extraction and process larger data, discrepancies in pre-train-fine-tune approaches may impact model performance | Accuracy | IMDb and Coursera dataset |

3. Proposed methodology

The proposed approach comprises five main stages: Data collection, data preprocessing, division into training and testing sets, optimization of model hyper-parameters, and deployment of XLNet, CNN-LSTM, LSTM, and BERT models, followed by performance evaluation. The research methodology is shown in Fig. 1. The first step is to collect data from fifty thousand reviews from the Rotten Tomatoes Movie Reviews dataset. In the second phase, the dataset is processed and cleaned in order to prepare it for modeling. In the third phase, the data is split into training and testing sets, allocating 75% for training and 25% for testing purposes. The fourth stage involves optimizing hyper-parameters to improve model performance. Finally, in the fifth phase, the models' performance is measured using a number of metrics to determine their effectiveness.

3.1. Data preprocessing

The first step of the proposed approach is preprocessing. This involves preparing the RT dataset for use by machine learning algorithms, such as label encoding, removing duplicate reviews, and case normalization. Label encoding is an approach to transforming categorical data into numerical data. This is done so that algorithms for machine learning can process the data. Case normalization is the conversion of all text in a dataset to lowercase. This ensures that the algorithms do not treat different cases of the same word as different entities. Duplicate Reviews removal is the process of removing duplicate reviews from a dataset. This ensures that the algorithms are not trained on the same data multiple times (Danyal et al., 2023).

3.2. Test train split

After preprocessing the data, the dataset is divided into two parts: the training set and the test set. The training set is used to train the algorithm, while the test set is used to evaluate its performance. In this experiment, 75% of the data is allocated for training, and 25% is reserved for testing (Fig. 2).

Dividing the reviews into training and testing sets (75% for training, 25% for testing) provides a balanced method for developing the model. The larger training set allows the model to learn patterns and features from a substantial amount of data, improving its ability to make accurate predictions.

3.3. Hyper-parameters tuning

Hyper-parameters are configuration settings that guide a machine learning algorithm's training process. Unlike model parameters, which are learned from the training data, hyper-parameters are set manually prior to training. They act as higher-level instructions, shaping the algorithm's behavior and performance (Sherstinsky, 2020). The specific hyper-parameters tuned for this experiment are detailed in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5.



| Parameters | Value |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Maximum sequence length | 128 |
| Batch size | 128 |
| Embedding dimensions | 128 |
| LSTM units | 128 |
| LSTM dropout | 0.2 |
| LSTM recurrent_dropout | 0.2 |
| Number of epochs | 3 |

Choosing the right hyper-parameters is important for building an effective machine-learning model. Their impact on the model's capacity to generalize and generate accurate predictions is significant. Finding the optimal settings is essential, a process often involving careful experimentation with different combinations of values to maximize model performance (Agrawal, 2021).

3.4. Techniques

In this study, deep learning models like XLNet, LSTM, and CNN-LSTM were employed to capture the sentiment expressed in movie reviews. Deep learning models offer numerous advantages, such as automatic feature extraction, the ability to handle complex data, enhanced performance, and the capability to process non-linear, structured, or unstructured data (Yasen and Tedmori 2019). XLNet and BERT were chosen for their advanced learning capabilities, outperforming other techniques in accuracy and efficiency. LSTMs excel in tasks involving sequences, as they are adept at learning long-term dependencies and can effectively handle inputs of varying lengths. CNN-LSTMs leverage the strengths of both CNNs, which excel in local feature extraction, and LSTMs, which are proficient in longterm learning, to enhance the performance of natural language processing applications. These models make significant contributions to a variety of fields capabilities thanks to their powerful and architectural designs. More details are provided below.

3.4.1. XLNet

XLNet is a modified autoregressive (AR) pretraining approach that combines the benefits of AR and Autoencoder approaches with the goal of permutation language modeling. XLNet's neural architecture is designed to function in tandem with the AR goal, which involves the incorporation of Transformer-XL and carefully designing the twostream attention system. It was proposed by Li et al. (2019), and it outperforms other models in various NLP tasks, including question answering, natural language inference (NLI), and opinion extraction (Yang et al., 2019). XLNet classifies movie reviews using a permutation-based training technique that randomly orders tokens in a phrase. Pre-training and fine-tuning comprise this model. XLNet pretrains to predict the next word in a phrase permutation by viewing all the words but without knowing which one comes next. It handles long movie reviews well, thanks to Transformer-XL's design. XLNet improves

the pre-trained model for sentiment categorization during fine-tuning. The model predicts "positive" or "negative" from a complete review using contextual representations of the text. Thus, XLNet can categorize movie review sentiment using permutation-based training, two-step training, and a fine-tuning approach. The XLNet architecture for movie reviews in Fig. 3 tokenizes input text into subwords, adds positional embeddings for sequence order, and uses random permutation to eliminate left-to-right context dependency. Transformer layers with self-attention and feed-forward sub-layers handle the permuted sequence. An inverse permutation layer restores the original order, while the transformer layers' hidden states predict the next token, similar to traditional language models.

3.4.2. BERT

BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) is a bidirectional model, which means it can understand the meaning of words in a sentence based on both the words that come before and after them. This makes it stronger than previous language models, which could only understand the meaning of words based on the words that came before them (Devlin et al., 2018). BERT is trained on an immense amount of text and code and is appropriate for various NLP tasks such as question answering, sentiment extraction, and NLI. BERT, a transformer-based machine learning model. processes text data for tasks like movie review classification. It starts by tokenizing the review into pieces and embedding these into a high-dimensional space. These embeddings are then processed through stacked transformer layers, capturing bidirectional context for each token. The final representation is fed into a classification layer trained to predict sentiments, providing the final output. The BERT architecture is shown in Fig. 4.

3.4.3. LSTM

Long-term memory (LSTM) is a recurrent neural network (RNN) version capable of learning longterm dependencies. As a result, it is well-suited to tasks like machine translation, speech recognition, and text generation. LSTMs use a gating mechanism to regulate the flow of data into and out of the cell state. This enables them to retain information for extended periods of time, even if it is unrelated to the current task (Van Houdt et al., 2020).



Fig. 4: BERT architecture

The model in Fig. 5 implements six layers, beginning with an embedding layer for converting input sequences into dense vectors. A dropout layer is then applied to prevent overfitting. Two LSTM layers process the sequential data, with the second one producing the final output. A spatial dropout layer helps regularize the LSTM output. The model concludes with a dense layer for classification using a sigmoid activation function. Together, these layers form a comprehensive deep-learning model capable of learning and making predictions.



Fig. 5: LSTM architecture

3.4.4. CNN-LSTM

CNN-LSTM represents a sophisticated deep learning architecture that merges the strengths of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) with long short-term memory networks (LSTMs). While CNNs excel at capturing spatial information from data, LSTMs are particularly skilled at recognizing and understanding long-term dependencies. This fusion of CNNs and LSTMs in the CNN-LSTM model proves highly effective across a range of tasks, including natural language processing (NLP), speech recognition, and image classification (Mutegeki and Han, 2020).

In Fig. 6, we observe a visualization of the CNN-LSTM hybrid model architecture, beginning with an input layer that defines the input data's structure. Subsequently, an embedding layer is utilized to transform the input integer sequences into dense Following vector representations. this, convolutional layer applies filters to capture local patterns within the data, while the max pooling layer serves to reduce dimensionality. To mitigate overfitting, a dropout layer is incorporated, and the LSTM layer is introduced to discern sequential dependencies within the data. Ultimately, the output layer employs a sigmoid activation function to

generate the predicted sentiment of the input sequence. This model effectively amalgamates the feature extraction capabilities inherent in CNNs with the sequential understanding prowess of LSTMs, rendering it well-suited for SA tasks.



Fig. 6: CNN-LSTM architecture

3.5. Performance metrics

In this research study, we assess performance using metrics such as accuracy, F1- score, recall, precision, and evaluation time (seconds). The efficiency of the proposed model is determined through a confusion matrix, where TP represents true positives (correctly predicted positives), FN stands for false negatives (incorrectly predicted negatives), FP denotes false positives (incorrectly predicted positives), and TN represents true negatives (correctly predicted negatives). Here are further details.

The accuracy of the predictions is calculated by dividing the predicted number of reviews by the total number of reviews (Khan et al., 2023).

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}$$
(1)

Precision is calculated by dividing the number of accurately predicted positive reviews by the total number of expected positive reviews.

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$
(2)

Recall is calculated by dividing the number of accurately predicted positive reviews by the total number of positive reviews.

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$
(3)

The F1-measure, commonly known as the f-score or f-measure, measures an algorithm's performance by taking precision and recall into account. The F1measure equation can be seen below.

$$F1-Score = \frac{2*Precision*recall}{Precision+recall}$$
(4)

The training time refers to the total duration of all steps performed in each epoch across the model.

4. Experimentation setup

The research experiment was carried out on a system equipped with an 11th-generation Intel Core i5 processor, 16GB of RAM, and the Jupyter Lab environment via Anaconda, which uses the Python programming language.

4.1. Dataset description

Movie review datasets can be found from various sources, including open-source platforms such as Kaggle, Rotten Tomatoes, the UCI Machine Learning Repository, and the IMDb website. For this study, movie reviews were extracted from the Rotten Tomatoes (RT) Dataset. A total of 50,000 reviews were randomly selected for experimentation (Leone, 2020). Fig. 7 provides a visual representation of the RT dataset.

| | review | sentiment |
|-------|---|-----------|
| 0 | Just as director Antoine Fuqua start to clos | rotten |
| 1 | A film that could have been a bold feminis | rotten |
| 2 | It's comforting, really, to see the movies s | fresh |
| 3 | Wild is too artistically tame to wild abo | fresh |
| 4 | By casting its villain is suspiciously ISIS | rotten |
| | | |
| 49995 | Arevalo's first feature film is a tense story | fresh |
| 49996 | Sure, Budapest essential pastiche of the b | fresh |
| 49997 | Make no mistake, this Toy story trilogy, and | fresh |
| 49998 | The disjunction between what's being said and | rotten |
| 49999 | The film characters are stick figure artic | rotten |
| | 50000 rows x 2 column | |
| | Fig. 7: Rotten tomatoes dataset | |

The review distribution in the Rotten Tomatoes dataset is shown in Fig. 8. Positive reviews in the RT dataset are referred to as fresh, while negative reviews are referred to as rotten, as shown in Fig. 8.

5. Results and discussion

The experiment results are discussed in this section. We tested XLNet, BERT, CNN-LSTM, and LSTM models over three epochs. XLNet has the highest accuracy of 87.68% in the third epoch. BERT also performed well, with an accuracy of 82.24% in the third epoch, which was better than LSTM, which had an accuracy of 75.16% in the third epoch. It showed progress over each epoch. CNN-LSTM also performed better than LSTM, with an accuracy of

76.98%. The bold results indicate that the models achieve the highest performance in their respective metrics. The performance of XLNet on the RT Dataset is shown in Table 6 and visualized in the bar chart in Fig. 9.



Fig. 8: Reviews distribution in rotten tomatoes dataset

| Table 6: Performance of XLNet on rotten tomatoes dataset | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Model | XLNet | | | |
| Epochs | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Precision | 83.71% | 85.29% | 86.18% | |
| Recall | 85.29% | 86.01% | 85.72% | |
| F1-Score | 84.49% | 85.65% | 85.95% | |
| Accuracy | 86.05% | 87.16% | 87.68% | |
| Training time | 918s | 924s | 949s | |

XLNet has the highest accuracy because it is trained on a larger dataset, and XLNet uses a technique called" permutation language modeling," which allows it to learn long-range dependencies between words. This makes it better at understanding the context of a sentence, which is important for tasks like SA and natural language inference. BERT also performed well, with an accuracy of 82.24%, as it is a well-established model that has been shown to be effective for various tasks. The rest of the results are presented in Table 7. The performance of BERT on the RT dataset is visualized in Fig. 10.

Table 7: Performance of BERT on RT dataset

| Model | BERT | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Epochs | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Precision | 81.94% | 82.50% | 82.69% |
| Recall | 80.91% | 82.32% | 82.14% |
| F1-Score | 80.95% | 82.35% | 82.19% |
| Accuracy | 80.91% | 82.19% | 82.24% |
| Training time | 1312s | 1301s | 1288s |

BERT uses a "masked language modeling" approach to learn the meaning of words by predicting them from their context. This makes it good at understanding the meaning of words in movie reviews. Based on the transformer model, BERT's architecture also contributes to its effectiveness. The transformer model implements self-attention mechanisms, allowing the model to attend to different words in a sentence while building representations. This attention mechanism enables BERT to capture dependencies within a text, facilitating a better understanding of the relationships between words. Table 8 shows the performance of LSTM on the RT dataset.







Fig. 10: Performance of BERT on RT dataset

| Model | LSTM | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Epochs | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Precision | 67.41% | 72.87% | 70.88% |
| Recall | 75.68% | 66.70% | 75.09% |
| F1-Score | 71.31% | 69.75% | 72.92% |
| Accuracy | 72.87% | 74.10% | 75.16% |
| Training time | 313s | 307s | 264s |

The performance of LSTM is visualized in Fig. 11 using bar chart. The relatively lower performance of the LSTM model compared to XLNet and BERT on the RT dataset can be attributed to factors such as the simpler architecture of LSTM compared to transformer-based models, limited ability to capture long-range dependencies in movie reviews, the dataset size possibly not providing enough data for effective training, and the absence of pre-training and transfer learning, which gives XLNet and BERT an advantage in understanding textual data and achieving higher performance in SA tasks. Table 9 illustrates the performance of the CNN-LSTM model on the RT Dataset.

| Model | CNN-LSTM | | |
|---------------|----------|--------|--------|
| Epochs | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Precision | 70.79% | 71.74% | 72.33% |
| Recall | 81.89% | 79.48% | 75.04% |
| F1-Score | 75.86% | 75.39% | 73.79% |
| Accuracy | 76.19% | 76.89% | 76.98% |
| Training time | 27s | 24s | 22s |

CNN-LSTM performed better than LSTM because it effectively uses convolutional neural network (CNN) features to capture spatial information while complementing the temporal understanding capabilities of long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, resulting in improved overall performance. The performance of CNN-LSTM is visualized in Fig. 12 using bar chart.



Fig. 11: Performance of LSTM on RT dataset



Fig. 12: Presenting the CNN-LSTM model's performance on the RT dataset

The evaluation time is measured in seconds (s) and varies for each model and the number of epochs. It provides insights into the computational efficiency of the models and can be useful in comparing their speed of inference. BERT takes a longer evaluation time due to its large model size, complex attention mechanisms, tokenization process, and resource-CNN-LSTM intensive computations. has less evaluation time due to its parallel processing capabilities, making it more efficient compared to traditional sequential models. The accuracy comparison of models is shown in Fig. 13.

After the third epoch, increasing the epochs did not significantly improve the model's performance, so we limited the epochs to three. The reason behind this phenomenon lies in the fact that the model had already grasped most of the pertinent patterns and insights from the training data during the initial three epochs. Further training beyond this point did not yield substantial advantages. Stopping training in such cases saves computational costs and time.

5.1. Discussion

This study proposes a model for SA of movie reviews using advanced models such as XLNet, BERT, LSTM, and CNN-LSTM. Before evaluation, the dataset is cleaned through several preprocessing steps, and the hyperparameters of all models are fine-tuned. Our experimental results show that XLNet outperforms the other models with an accuracy of 87.68%, which is 5% higher than BERT. BERT also performs better than LSTM and CNN-LSTM, achieving an accuracy of 82.24%, which is 5% higher than CNN-LSTM. XLNet's superior performance is due to its advanced permutation language modeling and extensive pre-training, which improve its ability to understand long-term dependencies and context. BERT also performs well because of its masked language modeling and selfattention mechanisms, which help it capture complex word relationships. CNN-LSTM benefits from combining CNN's ability to extract spatial features with LSTM's strength in learning temporal sequences. However, LSTM falls behind because of its simpler architecture and lack of pre-training, making it less effective for complex SA tasks. Table 10 compares the performance of our proposed method with other existing models.



Fig. 13: Accuracy comparison of Models on the RT dataset

| No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Models | Nath and Roy (2023) | Abimanyu et al. (2021) | Başarslan and Kayaalp (2023) | Liachoudis (2020) | Putrada et al. (2023) | Proposed |
| Techniques | CNN | Logistic Regression | Voting Model + TF-IDF | SVM + TF-IDF | BERT | XLNet |
| Accuracy | 80% | 76.88% | 87.20% | 78% | 75.30% | 87.68% |

SA models can be biased by their training data, such as choosing specific populations or opinions, which leads to unfair and inaccurate results. For example, a model trained in Western movie reviews may not accurately reflect non-Western audiences' sentiments. Ethically, privacy concerns occur because personal opinions and reviews are analyzed, so data anonymity and consent are important. Furthermore, biased models can influence important decisions, such as hiring and lending, potentially leading to unfair treatment. As a result, transparency in model development and the implementation of fairness measures are critical to ensuring ethical and unbiased SA.

6. Limitations

The Rotten Tomatoes English movie reviews dataset produced promising results for the model; however, several challenges must be considered. The main limitation is that the dataset focuses only on English movie reviews, which raises concerns about language and cultural biases. This may affect the model's performance when applied to reviews in other languages or from different cultural backgrounds. Additionally, the focus on movie reviews may not translate well to SA in other

155

contexts, such as social media posts or product reviews. Further research could explore domainspecific adjustments to improve the model's performance in different areas. Although we tuned the hyperparameters, not all configurations were explored due to computational constraints. While XLNet performed well in this study, its effectiveness may vary across other datasets. In conclusion, while our study provides useful insights, the limitations highlight the need for further research and improvements.

7. Conclusions and future work

Movie reviews are a form of textual data that insights, provide valuable opinions, and recommendations, helping people make informed decisions, discover new films, and appreciate the art of cinema. This paper proposed a SA model using advanced deep learning and transformer models, including CNN-LSTM, XLNet, LSTM, and BERT. XLNet achieved the highest accuracy on the Rotten Tomatoes dataset, demonstrating the ability of deep learning models to extract complex sentiments from textual opinions. This study highlights the importance of SA as a key tool for understanding emotions expressed in movie reviews. However, there are some limitations. The dataset used focuses only on English reviews, which may not be applicable to other languages or cultures. While the model works well with movie reviews, it may not perform as effectively on other types of text, such as news articles or social media posts. Due to time and computational limitations, we were unable to test all possible hyperparameter settings. Although XLNet performed well, its effectiveness may vary with different datasets, requiring further research. Future work will address these limitations by incorporating Aspect-Based SA and expanding the analysis to include multilingual datasets. These improvements are expected to enhance both research outcomes and the overall effectiveness of SA across diverse contexts of movie reviews.

List of symbols

| AI | Artificial intelligence |
|------|---------------------------------------|
| BERT | Bidirectional Encoder Representations |
| DLKI | from Transformers |
| CNN | Convolutional neural networks |
| CV | Cross-validation |
| DL | Deep learning |
| IMDB | Internet movie database |
| KNN | K-nearest neighbors |
| LR | Logistic regression |
| LSTM | Long short-term memory |
| SVM | Support vector machines |
| ML | Machine learning |
| NB | Naïve Bayes |
| NLP | Natural language processing |
| NLTK | Natural language tool-kit |
| OP | Opinion mining |
| RT | Rotten tomatoes |
| SA | Sentiment analysis |
| | |

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Abimanyu A, Pranowo WS, Faizal I, Afandi NK, and Purba NP (2021). Reconstruction of oil spill trajectory in the Java Sea, Indonesia using SAR imagery. Geography, Environment, Sustainability, 14(1): 177-184. https://doi.org/10.24057/2071-9388-2020-21
- Abimanyu AJ, Dwifebri M, and Astuti W (2023). Sentiment analysis on movie review from rotten tomatoes using logistic regression and information gain feature selection. Building of Informatics, Technology and Science, 5(1): 162-170. https://doi.org/10.47065/bits.v5i1.3595
- Agrawal T (2021). Introduction to hyperparameters. In: Agrawal T (Ed.), Hyperparameter optimization in machine learning: Make your machine learning and deep learning models more efficient: 4-5. APRESS, New York, USA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-6579-6
- Aziz MM, Purbalaksono MD, and Adiwijaya A (2023). Method comparison of Naïve Bayes, logistic regression, and SVM for analyzing movie reviews. Building of Informatics, Technology

and Science, 4(4): 1714-1720. https://doi.org/10.47065/bits.v4i4.2644

- Banik N and Rahman MHH (2018). Evaluation of Naïve Bayes and support vector machines on Bangla textual movie reviews. In the International Conference on Bangla Speech and Language Processing, IEEE, Sylhet, Bangladesh: 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBSLP.2018.8554497
- Başarslan MS and Kayaalp F (2023). Sentiment analysis with ensemble and machine learning methods in multi-domain datasets. Turkish Journal of Engineering, 7(2): 141-148. https://doi.org/10.31127/tuje.1079698
- Chakraborty K, Bhattacharyya S, Bag R, and Hassanien AE (2018). Comparative sentiment analysis on a set of movie reviews using deep learning approach. In: Hassanien A, Tolba M, Elhoseny M, and Mostafa M (Eds.), The international conference on advanced machine learning technologies and applications: Advances in intelligent systems and computing: 311-318, Volume 723. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-74690-6_31
- Dang NC, Moreno-García MN, and De la Prieta F (2020). Sentiment analysis based on deep learning: A comparative study. Electronics, 9(3): 483. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics9030483
- Danyal MM, Haseeb M, Khan SS, Khan B, and Ullah S (2024a). Opinion mining on movie reviews based on deep learning models. Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 6: 23-42. https://doi.org/10.32604/jai.2023.045617
- Danyal MM, Khan SS, Khan M, Ghaffar MB, Khan B, and Arshad M (2023). Sentiment analysis based on performance of linear support vector machine and multinomial Naïve Bayes using movie reviews with baseline techniques. Journal on Big Data, 5: 1-18. https://doi.org/10.32604/jbd.2023.041319
- Danyal MM, Khan SS, Khan M, Ullah S, Ghaffar MB, and Khan W (2024b). Sentiment analysis of movie reviews based on NB approaches using TF–IDF and count vectorizer. Social Network Analysis and Mining, 14: 87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-024-01250-9
- Danyal MM, Khan SS, Khan M, Ullah S, Mehmood F, and Ali I (2024c). Proposing sentiment analysis model based on BERT and XLNet for movie reviews. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 83: 64315–64339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-024-18156-5
- Dashtipour K, Gogate M, Adeel A, Larijani H, and Hussain A (2021). Sentiment analysis of Persian movie reviews using deep learning. Entropy, 23(5): 596. https://doi.org/10.3390/e23050596 PMid:34066133 PMCid:PMC8151596
- Deepa D, Nafais AS, Kumar BM, Prasath JR, Suba T, and Jenopaul P (2021). Analyzing the performance of bidirectional transformer and generalized autoregressive permutation pretrained language models for sentiment classification task. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 25(6): 7598-7604.
- Devlin J, Chang MW, Lee K, and Toutanova K (2018). BERT: Pretraining of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:1810.04805. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1810.04805
- Dhivyaa CR, Nithya K, Sendooran G, Sudhakar R, Kumar KS, and Kumar SS (2023). XLNet transfer learning model for sentimental analysis. In the International Conference on Sustainable Computing and Smart Systems, IEEE, Coimbatore, India: 76-84. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSCSS57650.2023.10169445
- Dholpuria T, Rana YK, and Agrawal C (2018). A sentiment analysis approach through deep learning for a movie review. In the 8th International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies, IEEE, Bhopal, India: 173-181.

https://doi.org/10.1109/CSNT.2018.8820260

- Khan B, Arshad M, and Khan SS (2023). Comparative analysis of machine learning models for PDF malware detection: Evaluating different training and testing criteria. Journal of Cybersecurity, 5: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.32604/jcs.2023.042501
- Khan M, Khan MS, and Alharbi Y (2020). Text mining challenges and applications: A comprehensive review. International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 20(12): 138-148.
- Khan SS, Khan M, Ran Q, and Naseem R (2018). Challenges in opinion mining, comprehensive review. A Science and Technology Journal, 33(11): 123-135.
- Leone S (2020). Rotten Tomatoes movies and critic reviews dataset. Kaggle, San Francisco, USA.
- Li H, Zhang X, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Zhou X, Liu J, Wu H, and Wang H (2019). D-NET: A pre-training and fine-tuning framework for improving the generalization of machine reading comprehension. In the Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Machine Reading for Question Answering: 212– 219, Hong Kong, China. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-5828
- Liachoudis G (2020). Sentiment analysis of movie reviews by merging comments from two well-known platforms. Ph.D. Dissertation, Tilburg University, Tilburg, Netherlands.
- Lou Y (2023). Deep learning-based sentiment analysis of movie reviews. In the 3rd International Conference on Machine Learning and Computer Application, SPIE, Shenyang, China: 12636: 177-184.
- Mutegeki R and Han DS (2020). A CNN-LSTM approach to human activity recognition. In the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Information and Communication, IEEE, Fukuoka, Japan: 362-366. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIIC48513.2020.9065078
- Nath D and Roy J (2023). Forecast of movie sentiment based on multi label text classification on rotten tomatoes using multiple machine and deep learning technique. In: Mercier-Laurent E, Fernando X, and Chandrabose A (Eds.), Computer, communication, and signal processing: AI, knowledge engineering and IoT for smart systems: 128-142. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-39811-7_11
- Palomo BA, Velarde FH, Cantu-Ortiz FJ, and Ceballos Cancino HG (2024). Sentiment analysis of IMDB movie reviews using deep learning techniques. In: Yang XS, Sherratt RS, Dey N, and Joshi

A (Eds.), Proceedings of eighth international congress on information and communication technology. ICICT 2023. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, Volume 696. Springer, Singapore, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-3236-8_33

- Putrada AG, Alamsyah N, and Fauzan MN (2023). BERT for sentiment analysis on rotten tomatoes reviews. In the International Conference on Data Science and Its Applications (ICoDSA), IEEE, Bandung, Indonesia: 111-116. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoDSA58501.2023.10276800
- Rahman A and Hossen MS (2019). Sentiment analysis on movie review data using machine learning approach. In the International Conference on Bangla Speech and Language Processing, IEEE, Sylhet, Bangladesh: 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBSLP47725.2019.201470
- Sherstinsky A (2020). Fundamentals of recurrent neural network (RNN) and long short-term memory (LSTM) network. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 404: 132306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2019.132306
- Tripathy A, Anand A, and Kadyan V (2023). Sentiment classification of movie reviews using GA and NeuroGA. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 82(6): 7991-8011. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-022-13047-z
- Ullah K, Rashad A, Khan M, Ghadi Y, Aljuaid H, and Nawaz Z (2022). A deep neural network-based approach for sentiment analysis of movie reviews. Complexity, 2022: 5217491. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5217491
- Van Houdt G, Mosquera C, and Nápoles G (2020). A review on the long short-term memory model. Artificial Intelligence Review, 53(8): 5929-5955. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-020-09838-1
- Yang Z, Dai Z, Yang Y, Carbonell J, Salakhutdinov RR, and Le QV (2019). XLNET: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. In the 33rd Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, Vancouver, Canada: 1-18.
- Yasen M and Tedmori S (2019). Movies reviews sentiment analysis and classification. In the IEEE Jordan International Joint Conference on Electrical Engineering and Information Technology, IEEE, Amman, Jordan: 860-865. https://doi.org/10.1109/JEEIT.2019.8717422
- Zhang L, Wang S, and Liu B (2018). Deep learning for sentiment analysis: A survey. WIREs: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 8(4): e1253. https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1253